

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,523	04/25/2006	Masahiro Nakayama	039.0071	2166	
29453- H IDGE & MII	7590 05/04/2007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAMINER		
JUDGE & MURAKAMI IP ASSOCIATES DOJIMIA BUILDING, 7TH FLOOR			LEE, JAE		
6-8 NISHITEMMA 2-CHOME, KITA-KU OSAKA-SHI, 530-0047		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
JAPAN			2823		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,523	NAKAYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jae Lee	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 April 2006.						
	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/22/2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/595,523 Page 2

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner does not comprehend a wet etching by means of an etchant having no Ga-face and N-face selectivity, having etching ability, and having an oxidation-reduction potential of 1.2 V or more is carried out; whereby contaminant metal produced by the dry etching is removed. What is the meaning of an etchant with no Ga-face and N-face selectivity?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2823

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 1. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagisawa (Pub No. US 2001/0018271 A1, hereinafter <u>Yanagisawa</u>) in view of <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> as evidenced by <u>Tekeuchi '715</u>.

With regards to **claim 3**, <u>Yanagisawa</u> teaches a method of manufacturing a semiconductor substrate, characterized in that in order to remove a process-transformed layer resulting from polishing, dry etching using a halogen plasma is carried out;

Yanagisawa, however, does not teach a gallium nitride semiconductor substrate utilizing a wet etching technique to remove metal contamination.

Art Unit: 2823

In the same field of endeavor, <u>Tekeuchi '715</u> shows evidence that the semiconductor substrate surface GaN can be utilized for cleaning for the use of fabricating light emitting elements (see col. 1, lines 5-9).

It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material based on its suitability for its intended use. In this case, Gallium nitride can be cleaned for removing metal contamination since Mizuniwa '157 teaches that a broadly defined semiconductor wafer can be cleaned using the cleaning process disclosed (see 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.).

Mizuniwa '157 also teaches that wet etching must be done in order to reduce the metallic contamination such as iron or copper down to a low enough concentrations which would suppress any influence to property and performance of LSIs (see col. 1, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use wet etching on gallium nitride semiconductor substrates in order to reduce the metal contamination such as iron or copper down to a low enough concentration which would suppress any influence to property and performance of LSIs as taught by Mizuniwa '157.

With regards to **claim 5**, <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> teaches a method characterized in that a wash for taking off organic matter by means of an organic solvent, and a wash by means of an alkaline solution in order to take off nonmetal contaminants are carried out either before or after the wet etching (see col. 15, lines 51-55, alkyl solution used for

Art Unit: 2823

cleaning, non-polar alkyl washes out organic matter, see col. 1, lines 47-51, ammonia is an alkaline solution that washes out esters or alcohol).

2. Claims 1,2,4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizuniwa et al. (USP# 5,814,157, hereinafter Mizuniwa '157) in view of Tekeuchi et al. (USP# 5,919,715, hereinafter Tekeuchi '715).

With regards to **claim 1**, <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> teaches a semiconductor substrate, characterized in the metal contamination on the substrate surface is 10 x 10¹¹ atoms/cm² or less (see col. 1, lines 32-34).

Mizuniwa '157, however, does not teach that the semiconductor substrate is gallium-nitride.

In the same field of endeavor, <u>Tekeuchi '715</u> shows evidence that the semiconductor substrate surface GaN can be utilized for cleaning for the use of fabricating light emitting elements (see col. 1, lines 5-9).

It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material based on its suitability for its intended use. In this case, Gallium nitride can be cleaned for removing metal contamination since Mizuniwa '157 teaches that a broadly defined semiconductor wafer can be cleaned using the cleaning process disclosed (see 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.).

Art Unit: 2823

With regards to **claim 2**, <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> teaches a semiconductor substrate, characterized in the metal contamination on the substrate surface is 5 x 10¹¹ atoms/cm² or less (see col. 1, lines 32-34).

Mizuniwa '157, however, does not teach that the semiconductor substrate is gallium-nitride.

In the same field of endeavor, <u>Tekeuchi '715</u> shows evidence that the semiconductor substrate surface GaN can be utilized for cleaning for the use of fabricating light emitting elements (see col. 1, lines 5-9).

It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material based on its suitability for its intended use. In this case, Gallium nitride can be cleaned for removing metal contamination since Mizuniwa '157 teaches that a broadly defined semiconductor wafer can be cleaned using the cleaning process disclosed (see 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.).

With regards to **claim 4**, <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> teaches a method of manufacturing a gallium-nitride semiconductor substrate, characterized in that wet etching by means of an etchant that is HCl + 0₃, and that has an oxidation-reduction potential of 1.2V or more is carried out (see col. 10, lines 4-5,15-19,40-42).

Mizuniwa '157, however, does not teach that the semiconductor substrate is gallium-nitride.

Art Unit: 2823

The Control Hambon: Torocci, c.

In the same field of endeavor, <u>Tekeuchi '715</u> shows evidence that the semiconductor substrate surface GaN can be utilized for cleaning for the use of fabricating light emitting elements (see col. 1, lines 5-9).

It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a known material based on its suitability for its intended use. In this case, Gallium nitride can be cleaned for removing metal contamination since Mizuniwa '157 teaches that a broadly defined semiconductor wafer can be cleaned using the cleaning process disclosed (see 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.).

With regards to **claim 6**, <u>Mizuniwa '157</u> teaches a method characterized in that a wash for taking off organic matter by means of an organic solvent, and a wash by means of an alkaline solution in order to take off nonmetal contaminants are carried to either before or after the wet etching (see col. 15, lines 51-55, alkyl solution used for cleaning, non-polar alkyl washes out organic matter, see col. 1, lines 47-51, ammonia is an alkaline solution that washes out esters or alcohol).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Motoki et al. (USP# 6,413,626 B1) Mirror finish of GaN substrates using dry etching and polishing.

Art Unit: 2823

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jae Lee whose telephone number is 571-270-1224.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML

FERNANDO L. TOLEDO
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINE